

<p>From:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Place Postcard stamp Here</div> <p>TO:</p> <p>ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!</p>	<p>Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."</p> <p>The SAVE Act actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators. * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime. * It undermines EVERYONE'S rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism. <p>The people whom the SAVE Act is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.</p> <p>The SAVE Act will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!</p> <p>Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-</p>
<p>From:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Place Postcard stamp Here</div> <p>TO:</p> <p>ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!</p>	<p>Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."</p> <p>The SAVE Act actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators. * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime. * It undermines EVERYONE'S rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism. <p>The people whom the SAVE Act is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.</p> <p>The SAVE Act will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!</p> <p>Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-</p>
<p>From:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Place Postcard stamp Here</div> <p>TO:</p> <p>ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!</p>	<p>Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."</p> <p>The SAVE Act actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators. * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime. * It undermines EVERYONE'S rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism. <p>The people whom the SAVE Act is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.</p> <p>The SAVE Act will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!</p> <p>Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-</p>
<p>From:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">Place Postcard stamp Here</div> <p>TO:</p> <p>ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!</p>	<p>Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."</p> <p>The SAVE Act actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators. * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime. * It undermines EVERYONE'S rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism. <p>The people whom the SAVE Act is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.</p> <p>The SAVE Act will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.</p> <p>PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!</p> <p>Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-</p>

Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."

The **SAVE Act** actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:

- * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators.
- * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime.
- * It undermines **EVERYONE'S** rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism.

The people whom the **SAVE Act** is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.

The **SAVE Act** will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.

PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!

Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-

From:

Place Postcard stamp Here

TO:

ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!

Dear Sex workers say "It's immoral to take money and time that could be used to fight REAL crimes and spend it instead to fight a moral and social crusade that most people don't care much about— unless prostitution abolitionists from the far left and religious right spread the lie that sex workers are victims of 'sex trafficking' who must be 'rescued' for our own good."

The **SAVE Act** actually undermines the fight against trafficking in these ways:

- * By eliminating U.S.-based websites, it pushes sex workers and other providers to use offshore-based websites. Historically, these offshore sites have been much less cooperative with American law-enforcement than domestic sites in pursuing and catching real traffickers. This is predictable, given the difficulty of the U.S. asserting legal jurisdiction over foreign website operators.
- * It creates a heavy incentive for advertising networks and third-party hosts to obtain identifying information from every person using their internet service—whether or not a sex worker. Given the reasonable fears of police action and illegal government spying, compromised privacy is the last thing any of us wants. There are already sufficient laws for the government to obtain warrants when there is evidence of a crime.
- * It undermines **EVERYONE'S** rights of free expression, creating a new class of speech that would lie outside the First Amendment's protection. This is never good, particularly for people whose lifestyle or political ideas attract criticism.

The people whom the **SAVE Act** is supposed to help— erotic service providers "at risk" for trafficking— oppose it almost unanimously. They know it will make them less safe, less able to vet customers, less able to control their own lives, and less able to maintain a community where they help and support each other. When a law designed to help a group is opposed by that group, you know it's a bad law— almost certainly passed by misinformed politicians trying to score points with a gullible public.

The **SAVE Act** will 'save' no one and benefit no one— except those who financially benefit from spreading lies and false statistics. IT WILL NOT BENEFIT REAL VICTIMS.

PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT THIS PROPOSED LAW! PLEASE DO NOT "SAVE " US!

Educational postcards for misinformed politicians and others-

From:

Place Postcard stamp Here

TO:

ISWFACE 2014 Because our lives matter!

