

## And finally, **"OPERATION DO THE MATH"**

Set aside for the moment that cops around the world use the laws (against prostitution) to [rape, extort, threaten and pimp prostitutes](#)- or the fact that there are SO VERY MANY cops who sexually exploit MINORS - or that adult men and women have a right to make choices for themselves ("MY BODY, MY CHOICE") and that in the thousands of years of attempted eradication of prostitution which include the execution of madams and prostitutes, prostitution continues to thrive- what do the prostitution abolitionists honestly believe the 'end the demand' crusade will do to stop people from doing what they want to do with other consenting adults?

Using their own 'guesstimates' of the number of alleged victims and their alleged 'johns'- which are mathematically impossible- we show that there is not a chance in hell to arrest enough 'johns' to 'end the demand.' There are not enough cops, enough courts, enough prisons, enough probation officers to compel the allegedly hundreds of millions of men (just in the US) to stop hiring a sex worker. And if you cannot stop [LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENTS](#) (or priests, preachers, teachers, rabbis, imams, doctors, neighbors or PARENTS) from sexually exploiting minors- and cops who sexually exploit prostitutes who are minors- how do they expect the cops to stop other men from doing the same?

How will the cops decide which reported crimes to ignore so that they can spend the requisite time pursuing and arresting millions of other men (besides themselves) who are hiring and having sex with prostitutes? Which violent crimes will go unsolved- such as the **5,704,712** reported violent rapes and sexual assaults (1991- 2015 Part V-A page 5) most of which were not even investigated and the victims of those violent crimes have gone without justice? And what about the victims of the 96% of all child sexual exploitation that is not committed by men who BUY the services of children- but is

committed by the pillars of the community as mentioned above? What will those victims think, knowing that those who claim to care about "the children"- **DO NOT CARE ABOUT THEM AT ALL?**

And how will the cops and the courts decide whom to arrest and whom to prosecute and punish? Will the men who are caught who are elected politicians (Eliot Spitzer, David Vitter, etc.) continue to 'skate' when it comes to their being arrested and charged with a crime? Will the cops only arrest those men whose sex workers refuse to provide the nice officer with a 'free sample'?

Because prostitution laws, like all other 'vice' laws, can only be enforced arbitrarily and selectively. And laws which allow HUMAN BEINGS- the cops, prosecutors and judges- to CHOOSE which 'violators' to arrest/ prosecute/ punish and which 'violators' to allow to continue to 'break the law' - for whatever reason- always have and always will engender corruption.

We know from many sources that there are ways to continue to 'violate' the laws with the blessing of the cops and courts.

As LAPD Police Detective Daniel Lott testified (in 1990) at the criminal hearings in the case against notorious Beverly Hills Madam, Alex Fleming, ["she had enough class not to flaunt her criminal activities and that the department \(LAPD\) looked the other way because of the help she provided on numerous occasions..."](#)

you can't get that type of information from a church person... the information we gleaned from her far surpassed what she was doing..."

## **IS THIS WHAT YOU HAD IN MIND TO "END THE DEMAND"?**

...The relationship the police had with Madam Alex during the years she reigned as madam in Hollywood raises some troubling questions: first, if 'pandering' is 'worse than rape or robbery' (People v. Almodovar 1985) why would one madam be allowed to continue for years to exploit some women, while other madams or even just prostitutes be prosecuted and sent to prison for the same activity? Is it acceptable to exploit some women as long as one cooperates with the police? How do the police determine which prostitutes it is okay to allow to be exploited and which women should be protected (by being arrested)? Is it acceptable that the women who don't cooperate with the police by providing information about their clients or other prostitutes and the madams for whom they work, go to jail 'for their own good'? What is the real purpose of the laws if the laws are so flexible as to allow the police such latitude in using their discretion to punish those who don't want to become informants? Admittedly, the police have used 'criminals' as informants for years - as long as the crimes being committed were of the 'victimless' nature where the criminal and the alleged victim were one and the same... In fact, in this situation, the informants are not only allowed to continue to break the law, they are expected to do so. Without their continued 'pandering' and prostitution activity, there would be no information to provide to the police. Furthermore, would either the madam or prostitute be inclined to cooperate if the police did not coerce them to be 'snitches'? And if they would not otherwise cooperate, then does not the coercion that forces them to do so constitute extortion? That is what it is called in any other situation... "If you don't cooperate with us and give us the (a) sex (b) money and (c) information, you will go to prison..."