Sian In Register Now Subscribe Mobile Multimedia Today's Paper

Going Out Guide Jobs

Cars Real Estate Rentals Classifieds

NEWS LOCAL

POLITICS

OPINIONS

SPORTS **Business** Arts & Living

washingtonpost.com > Metro > Crime > Crime Scene



The Crime Scene

To Serve and Inform

Get D.C. Area Alerts | E-Mail Us | Twitter | RSS | Who's Who? | More Crime Coverage | More Local News

ABOUT THIS BLOG

What's The Crime Scene all about? Read our mission and tell us what you think.

Then meet the team behind The Post's crime and justice coverage.

SEARCH THIS BLOG



RECENT POSTS

- Help sought finding Md. bank robber
- Shot firefighter is 6-year veteran
- Rockville man charged in D.C. shooting
- ■\$200M suit after 1967 Va. killings
- No bail for Md. man in deadly 2006 crash

Entries By Category

- Alexandria
- Allison Klein
- Animal Stories
- Anne Arundel
- Arlington
- Around the Nation
- Around the World
- Baltimore
- Calvert
- Cathy L. Lanier
- Celebrities
- Chandra Levy
- Charles
- Clarence Williams
- Cold Cases
- Cons & Scams

Posted at 5:36 PM ET. 12/21/2010

Ex-Arundel officer sentenced for porn

By Maria Glod

A former Anne Arundel County police lieutenant who had a sexual relationship with a 16-year-old girl was sentenced Tuesday to five years in prison, federal authorities said.

James Cifala, 47, of Edgewater, Md., was convicted of receiving child pornography. According to court papers, Cifala asked the girl to send him sexually explicit photos, and she complied.

According to court papers, Cifala and the girl met in 2007 and began exchanging text messages in 2009. He spoke to her about having sex, but told her they had to wait until she was 16-years-old. He also told the girl she had to earn his trust because he was concerned she would tell others about the relationship.

In 2009, when the girl was 16-years-old and Cifala was 46-years-old, the two had sex several times in his truck and a vacant house, according to a plea agreement in the case.

U.S. Attorney Rod J. Rosenstein said he hoped the case would "send a wake up call to parents about the need to monitor their children's use of cellular telephones and other communication devices."

Cifala had been with the Anne Arundel Police Department for 27 years prior to his arrest

By Maria Glod | December 21, 2010; 5:36 PM ET Categories: From the Courthouse, Maria Glod

Recommend

10 people recommend this. Be the first of your friends.

Save & Share:















Previous: Students to hospital after drug overdose Next: Two indicted for voter fraud in Arlington

Comments

So, it's legal to have sex with a 16-year-old, but not legal to have a nude picture of her (or him)?



Shop Brilliantly

CLICK HERE FOR EXCLUSIVE COUPONS

- **Crime History**
- **Crime Statistics**
- Crime, Seen
- D.C. Jail
- D.C. Sniper
- Dan Morse
- David D Maris
- David P. Marino-Nachison
- Death Penalty
- Del Quentin Wilber
- Delaware
- Document Room
- East Coast Rapist
- Emergencies & Rescues
- Fairfax
- Falls Church
- Fauquiei
- Financial Crimes
- Fires & Fire Safety
- Frederick
- **From the Courthouse**
- From the Editors
- From the Post
- Gangs
- Heroes
- Homicide
- Howard
- Identity Theft
- Internal Affairs
- Jerry Markon
- Josh White
- Juvenile Justice
- Keith L. Alexander
- Loudoun
- Manassas
- Maria Glod
- Martin Weil
- Mary Pat Flaherty
- Maryland
- Matt Zapotosky
- Missing Persons
- Montgomery
- Narcotics
- Offbeat
- Paul Duggan
- Personal Safety
- Police Shootings
- Politics
- Pr. George's
- Pr. William
- Prison Beat
- Reader Comment
- Reader Questions
- Reporter's Query
- Robbery
- Ruben Castaneda
- Schools
- Sex Crimes
- Spencer S. Hsu
- St. Mary's
- Stafford
- Technology

Posted by: AJJJ | December 22, 2010 8:08 AM | Report abuse

Why wasn't he charged and tried for statutory rape as well?

Posted by: johnfchick1 | December 22, 2010 8:19 AM | Report abuse

johnfchick1: Because in some states, 16 is the legal age. I'm not sure what MD's law says, but it might be okay. Still, it does seem a bit odd that the cell phone pics would be illegal and the sex not. And it sounds like the girl was willing, so I don't really see an issue here. If the cop was soliciting other young girls, then there might have been a problem.

Posted by: akchild | December 22, 2010 8:38 AM | Report abuse

Shameful- gives good cops a bad name!

Posted by: 10bestfan | December 22, 2010 8:44 AM | Report abuse

The problem is he used his knowledge of the law and probably his position as an adult authority figure to take advantage of a young girl at the earliest possible legal age. I imagine prosecutors wanted to get him for something and the child porn charge was the only option. Glad they caught him; he's a predator.

Posted by: mercredi | December 22, 2010 10:07 AM | Report abuse

And we the public are supposed to trust the police and Catholic priets? Damn!

Posted by: HotelGuest | December 22, 2010 11:33 AM | Report abuse

@akchild:

Technically, making pornography is a federal crime:

http://increasinglearning.com/Documents/Laws%20Against%20Pornography.pdf

Maryland also has obscenity laws.

http://www.moralityinmedia.org/nolc/index.htm?statutesIndex.htm

Not that I'm defending this guy, but prosecutors increasingly abuse the law this way. If they don't like you, they can bury you in charges. You either make a deal, or bankrupt yourself trying to defend against all the charges and risk going to jail for even longer.

Posted by: pmendez | December 22, 2010 12:25 PM | Report abuse

Hotel cleaning crews find all sorts of stuff everyday. The internet makes it easy for hotel guests to act shamefully too.

Posted by: pejochum | December 22, 2010 12:49 PM | Report abuse

a nude 16 yr old isnt child porn, it's underaged porn. a 16 yr old can have the body of an adult. it is normal to find a fully developed 16 yr old sexually attractive. just check out how many cds brittney spears sold when she was 16.

of course, it is wrong for an adult to use a teen for sex. but it is abnormal to find a child (under 13) sexually attractive.

there should be a different standard between underaged porn (13-17) and child porn (under 13).

the officer should be punished for having sex with the girl, but the exchange of cell phone images should of been the lesser offense.

Posted by: MarilynManson | December 22, 2010 1:13 PM | Report abuse

It does fail to state whether the girl was tried for making child porn in the juvenile justice system. Or, since boys as young as 12 have been condemned to death in the electric chair. If she was found competent to stand trial as an adult.

She was the person who made the picture and sent it to the defendant, and the man just got a five year prison term. How can anyone say she did no wrong?

Network News

MY PROFILE X

Friends' Activity



Ashleigh Elizabeth recommended Democrat Claire McCaskill defeats Republican Todd Akin in Missouri Senate race. • 15 hours ago



Barbara Todish recommended In Benghazi timeline, CIA errors but no evidence of conspiracy. • on Monday

THE REAL PROPERTY.

National Weather Service: Just say no to Athena

263 people recommended this.



Report: Break-in at Washington state Democrat campaign headquarters

487 people recommended this.



Why Ohio's provisional ballots could affect the election

363 people recommended this.

Puerto Rico approves statehood



6,028 people recommended this.



1,838 people recommended this.

Facebook social plugin

View More Activity

- The Criminal Mind
- The District
- The Region
- Theola Labbé-DeBose
- Tom Jackman
- Traffic Accidents
- Unsolved
- Updates
- Virginia
- Full Category Archive

Entries By Date

■ Full Weekly Archive

SUBSCRIBE

Select ...

RELATED LINKS

Crime & Justice

- The Post's Crime Coverage
- Public Safety Links
- Get D.C. Area Alerts
- Crime & Justice Via Twitter
- Map D.C. Area Crime

More Links

- Local News
- Traffic
- Weather

Maybe you believe she had no concept of how bad her conduct was, but that determination should be made after she is charged.

Posted by: blasmaic | December 22, 2010 2:43 PM | Report abuse

Post a Comment

We encourage users to analyze, comment on and even challenge washingtonpost.com's articles, blogs, reviews and multimedia features.

User reviews and comments that include profanity or personal attacks or other inappropriate comments or material will be removed from the site. Additionally, entries that are unsigned or contain "signatures" by someone other than the actual author will be removed. Finally, we will take steps to block users who violate any of our posting standards, terms of use or privacy policies or any other policies governing this site. Please review the <u>full rules</u> governing commentaries and discussions.

You must be signed in to washingtonpost.com to comment. Please sign in.

RSS Feed

Subscribe to The Post

© 2010 The Washington Post Company

Ways you can get us

Mobile
Apps
Newsletter &

Newsletter & alerts RSS

Facebook Twitter Podcasts Widgets Post Store Photo Store Washington Post Live Website
About us
Work for us
Community Relations
PostPoints
Corrections

Archive
Contact the Ombudsman

Web site

Make us your homepage

Newspaper

Subscribe to the newspaper Home delivery service e-Replica Advertise

In the newspaper On the Web site Mobile Events

The Washington Post Company Corporate Web site

Partners

© 1996-2011 The Washington Post | User Agreement and Privacy Policy | Rights and Permissions

Help | Contact Us | Ad Choices