Part II- "What about the children?"

According to the US Government,

One out of ten victims of child sexual exploitation MAY have been the victim of sex trafficking... but the other 9 WERE victims of someone whom they knew and trusted... pillars of the community- such as Coach Jerry Sandusky; and Concord (CA) police officer and father of the victim - John Eric Freeman; and teacher Mark Berndt; and Boy Scout leader and Police Chief Ken Tomlinson; and Father Alejandro Castillo; and Jewish Social Services worker Andrew Goodman..

If the goal of anti-trafficking legislation really is to stop the sexual exploitation of minors, why does it not focus on that issue, regardless of whether the child is 'trafficked' into the sex trade?

Does being a victim of 'sex trafficking' cause more psychological harm than being a victim of pedophile parents, step-parents, priests, teachers, preachers, coaches, boy scout leaders and law enforcement agents?

Even the government acknowledges there are far fewer victims of 'sex trafficking' than there are victims of the pillars of the community... so perhaps it is a matter of saving the few because we can't afford to save the many?

It certainly costs much less to focus on the least number of victims rather than the greater number, but then it should be apparent to everyone that it is NOT a matter of 'stopping the sexual exploitation of children.' It is an ideological crusade against consenting adult prostitution, regardless of the actual- rather than intangible- harm that prohibition causes the alleged victims.

Anti- sex trafficking laws- ARE NOT ABOUT "THE CHILDREN"